IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA.

WRIT PETITION NO. 299 OF 2003.

Mrs. Maria Aquina Lobo,
r/o Palmar, St. Estevam. ... Petitioner.

Versus

1. Collector North Goa
   District, Panaji.
2. Mamlatdar Tiswadi
   Taluka, Panaji.
3. Mrs. Philomena Abelina
   E. Afonso Antao.
4. Mr. Jose Conceicao Monserrate,
   both r/o Palmer, St. Estevam. ... Respondents.

Mr. Rohit Bras de Sa, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. A.N.S. Nadkarni, Advocate General with Mr. H.D. Naik, Additional Government Advocate for the Respondents 1 and 2.

Mr. M.S. Sonak, Advocate for the Respondents 3 and 4.

Coram : F.I. REBELLO AND
P.V. HARDAS, JJ.

Date : 9th July 2003.

ORAL JUDGMENT (PER REBELLO, J.)

Rule. Respondents waive service. Heard forthwith.

2. Insofar as prayer clause a) sought in the petition, the respondent no. 1 has already passed the Order on 4th July 2003. Nothing, therefore, survives insofar as that issue is concerned.

3. Insofar as prayer clauses b) and c) are concerned, on behalf of the respondents, learned counsel assures the Court that they will not be examining any

other witness except the witness No. 3. It is the petitioner, who will have to now cross-examine the said witness. Considering the said statement, the respondent no. 2 is directed to complete the hearing and dispose of the matter at any rate on or before 31st July 2003 and report compliance to this Court. Rule made partly absolute. There shall be no order as to costs. It is made clear that the respondents 3 and 4 will have the right to re-examine the witness, if in law, they are so entitled.

(F.I. REBELLO)
JUDGE.

(P.V. HARDAS)
JUDGE.

ed's.